# Studies in Spectroscopy. Part IX.\* Further Studies on Nitrosamines and Nitrites.

## By R. N. HASZELDINE and B. J. H. MATTINSON.

## [Reprint Order No. 6441.]

The ultraviolet spectrum of a dialkylnitrosamine is shifted up to 30 mµ, with loss of fine structure, on change of solvent from light petroleum to water, and intermediate shifts are obtained with other solvents. Further studies of the infrared spectra of the nitrosamines have shown that only in the vapour state is the nitroso-group unaffected by the strong interactions which can be detected in the spectra of liquid nitrosamines or of the nitrosamines in nonpolar solvents. Both ultraviolet and infrared spectroscopic results are best explained by assuming either intermolecular dipolar interaction or partial dimerisation of the nitroso-compounds to give  $R_2N\cdot N(O^-)\cdot N^+(.O)\cdot NR_2$  analogous to C-nitroso-dimers. Further studies on the ultraviolet and infrared spectra of nitrites support the postulate of rotational isomerism in these compounds. 2:2:2:2-Trifluoroethyl nitrite exists mainly as the *trans*-isomer.

These results are applied to the dimer of trifluoronitrosomethane (J., 1954, 696), and it is concluded that the new spectroscopic evidence now favours structure  $(CF_3)_2N$ ·O·NO rather than  $(CF_3 \cdot O)(CF_3)N$ ·NO.

A STUDY of the ultraviolet and infrared spectra of nitrosamines and nitrites was recorded in Part VI (Haszeldine and Jander, J., 1954, 691). Further studies have been made and the earlier conclusions can now be expanded and, in one respect, modified.

(1) Nitrosamines.—(a) Ultraviolet spectra. The ultraviolet spectrum of a nitrosamine resembles that of a nitrite, with a low-intensity maximum at ca. 365 m $\mu$  which shows fine structure. The marked shift to the blue of this maximum, with loss of fine structure, which occurs when the solvent is changed from light petroleum to ethanol readily distinguishes a nitrosamine from a nitrite (Part VI, *loc. cit.*). This solvent-dependency of the low-intensity nitrosamine band has been investigated in more detail; the results are summarised in Table I and typified in Fig. 1. In non-polar solvents such as light petroleum or carbon tetrachloride, the spectrum of dimethyl- or diethyl-nitrosamine is essentially that of the vapour, with the main peak at 363 m $\mu$  flanked by peaks of approximately equal intensity. Use of alkyl or cyclic ethers as solvents produces shifts in the main peak of 3—7 m $\mu$ , with loss of fine structure (Fig. 1), whereas alcohols cause shifts of 15—16 m $\mu$ . Water gives the largest shift (30 m $\mu$ ).

Dialkylnitrosamines are only very weakly basic, the spectrum of diethylnitrosamine

\* Part VIII, preceding paper.

	Solvent	λmax	ε	λmin	ε	$\lambda_{infl}$	ε
Me.N·NO	Vapour	376	86	371	77		
<b>1</b>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	363	98	356	78	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
		352	83	306	41	<u> </u>	
	Light petroleum	374	105	369	90		$\rightarrow$
		361	125	354	94	_	
	CC1	351	98	301	3	260	115
	CCI4	300	140	_	_	309	120
	Et.O	370.5	102	366	99.5	350	96
	<u>.</u>	359	123	_	_	_	
	Tetrahydrofuran	367	99	365.5	98	350	102
		357	122				
	Dioxan	356	112	298	6	365	92
		074	100	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	300	95
	Dimetnyhormamide	304	100			304 346	80 80
	Film of liquid	353	_		_	360	
	CHCl.	352	124			360	110
	CH. CN	352	110		<u> </u>	364	87
	EtŐH	346	98	294	11	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	$0 \cdot 1$ N-HCl-EtOH (1:1)	337	103	<b>29</b> 0	12	<u> </u>	
	H <sub>2</sub> O	331.5	98	287	12	<u> </u>	-
Et.N·NO	Light petroleum	378	90	374	85	358	82
		366	105	305	3	<u> </u>	
	CCl	364	104	304	3	373	90
	•		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	358	90
	Et <sub>2</sub> O	376	86	372	82	_	-
		364	101	304	3		
	Tetrahydropyran	374	93	371	90	354	87
	<b>m</b> + <b>1</b> + (	363	110	304	4		
	Tetrahydrofuran	373	85	372	84.5	354	88
	Dieven	362	103	271	95.5	-	
	Dioxaii	361	102	304	4	_	_
	NH.Bnp	362	102	302	4	370	90
		002	100	001	-	010	•••
	CH₃·ĊH·CH₂·Ò	361	104	302	6	370	88
	Film of liquid	<b>36</b> 0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	368	
						350	
	Dimethylformamide	359	103	302	2	370	87
	CH <sub>3</sub> ·CN	358	102	306	9	366	86
	CHCI3	356	110	302	9	303	100
	MOH	300	90	297	10		_
	HO	330	20	298	10	_	_
	0.1N-HCI	338	85	291	12	_	
	0·1n-NaOH	338	87	291	$12^{-12}$		_
(CE CH ) N.NO	Vapour 1	296.5	55	201	4.1	350	22
$(01_3 011_2)_2 (100)$	Vapour -	379.5	55 70	365	44	338	19
		359.5	54	307	3		
	Light petroleum <sup>2</sup>	386	91	380	75	350	55
		372	113	364	79	338	30
		360	86	306	5	<u> </u>	
	EtOH <sup>3</sup>	385	80	381	77	362	82
		372	103	306	3	350	<b>6</b> 0
	Dioxan	384	91	380	86	360	88
		371	111	306	11	350	60
	CIL CN	200	100	201		338	34
	UT3'UN	309	100	304	3	380 369	01 94
	HOA	366		304		302	0±
1 41.	4.000 4000 0			501			

TABLE 1. Ultraviolet spectra (300-400 m $\mu$ ) of nitrosamines.

<sup>1</sup> Also max. at 230 m $\mu$ ,  $\varepsilon$  4300. <sup>2</sup> Also max. at 230 m $\mu$ ,  $\varepsilon$  5200. <sup>3</sup> Also max. at 230 m $\mu$ ,  $\varepsilon$  5300. <sup>4</sup> Qualitative measurements on a saturated aqueous solution.

being the same in neutral aqueous, acidic, or basic solution. The shift in the maximum from 331.5 mµ for dimethylnitrosamine in aqueous solution to 337 mµ for a solution in 1:1 0·1n-hydrochloric acid-ethanol is thus not caused by the change in pH but by the decrease in dielectric constant of the solvent. Particular attention is drawn to the spectra





- (I) Me<sub>1</sub>N·NO in (a) light petroleum, (b) diethyl ether, (c) acetonitrile, (d) dimethylformamide, (e) ethanol, a d(j) water.
- (II) Et<sub>2</sub>N·NO in (a) light petroleum, (b) carbon tetrachloride, (c) dimethylformamide, (d) methyl cyanid ; (e) ethanol, and (f) water.
   (III) (CF<sub>3</sub>·CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N·NO in (a) light petroleum, (b) ethanol, (c) methyl cyanide, and (d) water.

of liquid dimethyl- and diethyl-nitrosamine, which show appreciable change (4-8 m $\mu$  shift) from spectra in non-polar solvents, and to the spectra in chloroform which show shifts of 8 m $\mu$  relative to spectra in carbon tetrachloride; the spectra as liquid films and in chloroform solution closely resemble those in acetonitrile solution in shape (Fig. 1).

The high-intensity peak near 230 m $\mu$  ( $\epsilon$  6000—7000) reported earlier for dialkylnitrosamines (Part VI, *loc. cit.*) remains at 228—233 m $\mu$  despite change in solvent, and is unaltered in intensity; the fact that the change in solvent affects only the 365 m $\mu$  peak thus strongly suggests that it is the nitroso-group in R<sub>2</sub>N·NO which is being influenced. The changes in spectra discussed above for dimethyl- and diethyl-nitrosamine occur with the other nitrosamines listed in Part VI.

Possible reasons for the changes in the ultraviolet spectra are : (a) complex formation of the neutral-molecule (Lewis) acid-base type as it is shown by iodine, interhalogen compounds, polyfluoroiodo-compounds, and iodine cyanide (see J., 1953, 2622; 1954, 4145 for references); (b) rotational isomerism; (c) intermolecular dipolar interaction of the nitroso-groups, or true dimerisation of the nitroso-compound as with C-nitroso-compounds; (d) solvent effects caused by changes in dielectric constant or by hydrogen-bonding of the oxygen atom of the nitroso-group.

Complex formation, (a), can be eliminated at this stage, since the changes in spectra are not related to the (Lewis) basicity of the solvents; strong neutral-molecule Lewis bases such as dimethylformamide or *n*-butylamine, for example, produce smaller effects than weaker bases such as acetonitrile (Table 1).

Rotational isomerism (b) brings out the analogy between nitrites and nitrosamines, since both could show such isomerism (see discussion on nitrites below and Part VI). Courtauld models of dimethylnitrosamine can be constructed with either a pyramidal amine-

nitrogen, or the more probable amide-type nitrogen [cf.  $Me_2N-N=O \leftarrow Me_2N=N-O^-$  and  $CH_3 \cdot C(:O) \cdot NH_2 \leftarrow CH_3 \cdot C(O^-) \cdot NH_2$ ] for the  $Me_2N$ -nitrogen atom. Both models show that the hydrogen atoms approach closely to the oxygen atom, and the most probable structure is (I), where the oxygen atom is either adjacent to one hydrogen atom or equidistant between two hydrogen atoms in a planar configuration; the oxygen atom can



clearly have an equivalent position with respect to the other methyl group. A configuration such as (I) can be regarded as stabilised by weak hydrogen bonding between hydrogen and oxygen, and, though the N-O-H angle is not favourable for hydrogen-bond formation of the usual type, models show that it is much the same as in the alkyl nitrites, where good evidence exists for a cis-form (III) and a trans-form (IV) (see below). Dimethylnitrosamine could have a second configuration (II), where the oxygen atom is written in parentheses to indicate that it is above the nitroso-nitrogen atom and out of the plane of the nitrogen and carbon atoms. In this non-planar configuration (II), which is less likely in view of the resonance in the >N-N=O system, the oxygen atom would not be bonded to the hydrogen atoms. Rotational isomerism is thus possible in nitrosamines, but less plausible than for nitrites where both (III) and (IV) are distinct planar configurations. On this basis the changes in spectrum from solvent to solvent would be caused by change in the ratio of the rotational isomers present. It is, however, unlikely that rotational isomers would differ by so much in their ultraviolet spectra, particularly since only minor changes are apparent in the ultraviolet spectra of nitrites where the evidence for rotational isomerism is much stronger.

Intermolecular dipolar interaction (c), as in (V), to give a weakly bonded dimer, or true dimerisation to give a compound (VI) analogous to a *C*-nitroso-dimer (Haszeldine and Jander, *J.*, 1954, 912), provides the simplest explanation for the ultraviolet and also for the

infrared spectra discussed below. The peaks centred on 360-366 mµ for spectra of vapours or of light petroleum solutions would thus represent the absorption of the monomeric nitrosamine and the shifts to shorter wavelength would be caused by an increasing amount of dipolar interaction or of true dimerisation rendered possible by



increase in dielectric constant of the solvent. It does not follow that the maximum at  $331.5 \text{ m}\mu$  (Me<sub>2</sub>N·NO) or  $338 \text{ m}\mu$  (Et<sub>2</sub>N·NO) is necessarily caused by the pure dimer (V or VI; R = Me or Et), since dimerisation is not necessarily complete. The appearance of a clearcut isosbestic point at 290.5 m $\mu$  ( $\epsilon$  10) common to diethylnitrosamine in all the solvents listed in Table 1 is of importance in this connection, since it suggests that the maxima observed when more polar solvents are used are the resultant of two species (a monomer and a dimer) present at the same time in the solution.

The boiling points of dialkylnitrosamines are higher than would be expected from a consideration of their molecular weight and by comparison with alkyl nitrites. Strong dipolar interaction or partial dimerisation would explain this also. If true dimerisation of the type (VI) is responsible for the spectral changes, it can occur to the extent of only a few per cent, since the cryoscopic molecular weight of dimethylnitrosamine in water is the same  $(\pm 5\%)$  as that of the monomer and as the cryoscopic molecular weight in benzene. This favours the idea of intermolecular dipolar interaction, though it does not completely invalidate the postulate of partial dimerisation to (VI), since *N*-nitroso-dimers (VI) could absorb at shorter wavelength much more intensely than do the monomers at longer wavelength; unpublished results on *C*-nitroso-dimers support this, since their absorption in the 300-320 mµ region is several times as great as for the monomers at longer wavelength (650-680 mµ).

The unexpected behaviour of chloroform could be attributed to hydrogenbonding of its acidic hydrogen atom to the oxygen atom of the monomeric nitrosamine  $(R_2N-N=O\cdots H-CCl_a)$ . Such hydrogen-bonding [factor (d) above] could occur for other solvents and would be in accord with the loss of fine structure of the spectrum. This cannot provide a complete explanation, however, since changes in spectrum are observed with solvents which cannot form hydrogen bonds in this way. Dielectric-constant effects [(d) above] may also be involved here, since small changes  $(2-5 \text{ m}\mu)$  in the position of an absorption maximum, commonly observed for organic compounds with change from nonpolar (light petroleum, CCl.) to polar solvent (EtOH, etc.), can be interpreted in terms of slight differences in the perturbation introduced by the cage of solvent molecules surrounding the solute molecule. Ham, Rees, and Walsh (J. Chem. Phys., 1952, 20, 1336) consider that this solvent effect is responsible for the large changes in the spectrum of iodine in various solvents rather than the formation of a 1:1 molecular complex (see J., 1953, 2622; 1954, 4145 for references). The ultraviolet absorption of the resonating nitrosogroup ( $R_2N \cdot N:O \leftarrow R_2N^+:N-O^-$ ) would certainly be expected to be affected by the dielectric constant of the solvent, but it is difficult to estimate whether this alone could cause shifts of 30 m $\mu$ . Apart from chloroform, which would be anomalous on this basis, the changes in spectrum parallel the change in dielectric constant.

Rotational isomerism and/or dipolar interaction or dimerisation and/or solvent effects, with preference for dipolar interaction or true dimerisation, can thus be used to explain the ultraviolet spectra of dialkylnitrosamines. The ultraviolet spectrum of N-nitrosobis-2:2:2-trifluoroethylamine, which differs appreciably from those of the unsubstituted nitrosamines, is in accord with these explanations, and favours the dipolar interaction or true dimerisation concept (c). The inductive effect of the strongly electronegative CF<sub>3</sub> groups makes the lone pair of electrons on the nitrogen atom adjacent to the nitroso-group less mobile, and a marked decrease in resonance of the type  $R_2N\cdot N:O \iff R_2N^+:N\cdot O^-$  is to be expected. The shift (6 mµ) to longer wavelength of the main peak of N-nitrosobis-2:2:2-trifluoroethylamine relative to that of diethylnitrosamine (Table 1, Fig. 1) reveals

the increase in double-bond character of the nitroso-group; the short-wavelength peak remains unchanged in position and intensity (230 m $\mu$ ,  $\varepsilon$  5000), as expected. The spectrum of N-nitrosobis-2: 2: 2-trifluoroethylamine is only slightly changed by polar solvents such as ethanol, dioxan, acetonitrile, or water (Fig. 1), in marked contrast to the spectra of the unsubstituted dialkylnitrosamines [cf. the shift of 6 m $\mu$  for an aqueous solution with the 30 m $\mu$  shift observed for dimethylnitrosamine], and increase in dielectric constant merely causes loss of the flanking peaks (Fig. 1). This supports the concepts of dipolar interaction or true dimerisation in dialkylnitrosamines, since increase in the electron-attracting power of R (e.g., R = CF<sub>3</sub>·CH<sub>2</sub>) should prevent or at least considerably reduce such effects, just as it does with C-nitroso-compounds (e.g., CF<sub>3</sub>·NO is completely monomeric whereas Ph·NO or CMe<sub>3</sub>·NO are mainly dimeric; J., 1953, 2075; 1954, 696, 912, 919). Rotational isomerism should similarly be diminished, since stabilisation of a form analogous to (I) by hydrogen-bonding would be reduced (cf. hydrogen-bonding in the fluoro-alcohols, J., 1953, 1757). Hydrogen-bonding involving solvent would also decrease, and the nitroso-group would be less sensitive to changes in dielectric constant.

(b) Infrared spectra. In Part VI (loc. cit.) it was shown that the infrared spectra of liquid dialkylnitrosamines have no strong band in the usual N:O stretching vibration region, but that three bands characterise the spectra : a band at  $7\cdot1-7\cdot4\mu$ , a very strong band at  $7\cdot6-8\cdot6\mu$ , and a strong band ca.  $9\cdot5\mu$ . The first of these was tentatively assigned to the N:O stretching vibration, and the last to the N-N stretching vibration.\* Evidence is now given which shows that the N:O assignment must be modified.

The infrared spectrum of liquid dimethylnitrosamine between 5.5 and  $8.0 \mu$  shows four strong bands at 6.92, 7.10, 7.60, and 7.76  $\mu$  of approximately equal intensity (Fig. 2, I; C.S. 75 †), and the absence of a strong band between 5.8 and 6.8  $\mu$  is particularly noteworthy. Dimerisation of the nitrosamine to give (VI; R = Me), which would explain the absence of the N:O vibration from its expected position, was suggested in Part VI (*loc. cit.*), but rejected since a solution of dimethylnitrosamine in chloroform (Fig. 2, III) failed to show any change in the positions or relative intensities of the bands; change in the monomer : dimer ratio, with consequent change in spectrum, was to have been expected in a solvent of such low dielectric constant. The ultraviolet spectroscopic study discussed above subsequently made it necessary to study the effect of solvent on the infrared spectrum of dimethylnitrosamine.

The spectrum of a solution of dimethylnitrosamine in carbon tetrachloride shows distinct changes in several bands (Fig. 2, IV); the  $6.92 \mu$  band moves to  $6.88 \mu$ , but relative to the 7.76  $\mu$  band scarcely changes in intensity, and the 7.10 and 7.60  $\mu$  bands appear at 7.10 and 7.63  $\mu$  respectively and are appreciably weakened relative to the 7.76  $\mu$  band. The  $9.53 \mu$  (N–N) band shifts to  $9.65 \mu$  without change in intensity, but the 11.83 and 14.65  $\mu$ bands which appear in the pure liquid remain unchanged in both chloroform and carbon tetrachloride solutions. The spectrum of liquid dimethylnitrosamine at 100° is much like that of the liquid at 25° but there is a distinct, though small, decrease in the intensities of the 7·10 and the 7·60  $\mu$  band. Finally the spectrum of dimethylnitrosamine vapour at 120° (Fig. 2, II; C.S. 230  $\dagger$ ) shows the 7.60  $\mu$  band as only a weak shoulder and the 7.1  $\mu$  band as a weak peak; the strong bands of the spectrum are now at 6.72, 7.75, and  $9.85 \mu$ . The  $6.72 \mu$  band is thus assigned to the N:O stretching vibration in monomeric dimethylnitrosamine containing a "free "nitroso-group [i.e., one unaffected by bonding as in (I), dipolar interaction as in (V), or dimerisation as in (VI)] and the 9.85  $\mu$  band to the N–N stretching vibration. Dipolar interaction, dimerisation, solvent effects, and other interactions are negligible in the vapour phase, and strong interactions in liquid nitrosamines are thus revealed by infrared spectra as well as by ultraviolet spectra. The 6.92 and  $7.60 \mu$  bands in the spectrum of liquid dimethylnitrosamine (Fig. 2, I), which are shifted and decreased

<sup>•</sup> We take this opportunity of correcting a typographical error in Part VI which was carried through to other papers (J., 1954, 697, 919), namely, that the nitrogen-nitrogen vibration appeared in print as N-O instead of N-N.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Spectra so marked have been deposited with the Chemical Society. Photocopies, price 3s. 0d. per copy per spectrum, may be obtained on application, quoting the C.S. number to the General Secretary, the Chemical Society, Burlington House, Piccadilly, London, W.1.



in intensity respectively for a carbon tetrachloride solution, are assigned to either (i) the N:O stretching vibrations in the liquid monomer [possibly bonded as in (I)] and dimer (V or VI; R = Me) respectively, or (ii) to the N:O stretching vibrations in the rotational isomers (II) and (I) respectively. Hydrogen bonding as in (I) would make this isomer the more stable and the N:O vibration would appear at the longer wavelength.

In carbon tetrachloride solution the  $6.92 \ \mu$  band appears at  $6.88 \ \mu$ , not at  $6.72 \ \mu$ , the position for the stretching vibration of the "free" nitroso-group of the vapour. As the solution of dimethylnitrosamine in carbon tetrachloride is progressively diluted, a weak absorption appears at  $6.73 \ \mu$  but there is no major change in the spectrum. The  $7.60 \ \mu$  band is at  $7.63 \ \mu$  in the carbon tetrachloride solution and appreciably weakened (Fig. 2, IV): it decreases slightly in intensity relative to the  $7.76 \ \mu$  band on further dilution, but does not disappear, thus revealing the powerful nature of the interactions in the nitrosamines. The  $6.88 \ \text{and} 7.63 \ \mu$  bands are assigned as for the  $6.92 \ \text{and} 7.60 \ \mu$  bands of the liquid.

The decrease in the extent of dipolar interaction or true dimerisation, or of rotational isomerism on dissolution in carbon tetrachloride, is as expected, but the absence of change in spectrum for a chloroform solution is unexpected, and must be explained, as must the anomalous ultraviolet spectrum in chloroform, on the basis that chloroform is sufficiently polar to fail to change the monomer : dimer (V or VI) or rotational isomer ratio in the liquid nitrosamine, or that additional hydrogen bonding to the nitroso-group through the acidic hydrogen of chloroform becomes possible.

It will be noted that a major change in the infrared spectrum of dimethylnitrosamine is brought about by change from vapour to solution, even in a non-polar solvent such as carbon tetrachloride. This suggests that solvent effects of the type discussed for the ultraviolet spectra cannot play a major part in the spectral changes, but that dipolar interaction (V), dimerisation (VI), or rotational isomerism in the nitrosamine itself, or a combination of the three is responsible, particularly since major changes are observed in both infrared and ultraviolet spectra of films of the liquid nitrosamine. Dipolar interaction and true dimerisation, particularly the former, are the most probable major factors able to cause such profound changes in infrared spectra, and it is thus concluded that a monomer  $\longrightarrow$  dimer (V or VI) equilibrium is present in liquid dimethylnitrosamine and its solutions in various solvents. It is possible that rotational isomerism and solvent effects play a minor part.

The infrared spectra of diethyl- and di-n-propyl-nitrosamine have also been studied in more detail, and show similar, though less marked, effects summarised in Table 2, where data for C-nitroso-compounds are also given.

	Vapour :	Solution	in CCl <sub>4</sub> :	Liquid :		
	monomer	monomer	dimer	monomer	dimer	
Me.N·NO	6.72	6.88	7.63	6.92	7.60	
Et N NO	6.74	6.84	?	6.93	?	
Pr N·NO	6.73	6·85 3	?	6.87	?	
(CF, CH, ), N·NO	6.45	6.54	Nil	6.60	Nil	
Me C·NO <sup>2</sup>	<u> </u>	6.46	7.34	_	<u> </u>	
Ph•NO <sup>2</sup>		6.61	7.19	_		
CF <sub>3</sub> ·NO <sup>2</sup>	6.25	_	<u> </u>	_	<u> </u>	
C, F, NO	6.24	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	_	
C.F. NO	6.23	_	_	<u> </u>		

### TABLE 2. NO vibration in N- and C-nitroso-compounds.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> This replaces part of the Table given in J., 1954, 912. <sup>2</sup> Haszeldine and Jander, J., 1954, 912. <sup>3</sup> A band appears at this position in the spectra of the longer-chain nitrosamines given in Part VI, but the possibility of CH<sub>2</sub> vibrations' appearing in this region makes assignment of the band to the NO group open to doubt.

Diethylnitrosamine shows a strong band at  $6.74 \mu$  in the vapour (Fig. 2, VI; C.S. 231) clearly assigned to the "free" N:O stretching vibration (Table 2). The liquid nitrosamine fails to show a band in this region (Fig. 2, V; C.S. 76) and by analogy with dimethylnitrosamine the band at  $6.84 \mu$  can be assigned to the N:O vibration of monomeric diethylnitrosamine in a monomer  $\longrightarrow$  dimer (V or VI) equilibrium. Unlike dimethylnitrosamine, however, the solution in carbon tetrachloride is identical with that of the liquid nitrosamine,

and changes in the relative intensities of the bands cannot be detected even on dilution; the N:O vibration for the dimer (V or VI) (or rotational isomer) thus cannot be assigned with certainty. Interaction in the liquid must thus be particularly strong for diethylnitrosamine, and for di-*n*-propylnitrosamine which shows a similar effect (Vapour: Fig. 2, VIII; C.S. 232. Liquid: Fig. 2, VII, C.S. 77; CCl<sub>4</sub> solution identical with Fig. 2g). The infrared spectrum of liquid di-*n*-pentyl- and di-*n*-hexyl-nitrosamine and of nitrosopiperidine which were also described in Part VI (*loc. cit.*) can be interpreted similarly.

*N*-Nitrosobis-2:2:2:trifluoroethylamine shows N:O stretching vibration at  $6.45 \mu$  for the vapour (Fig. 2, X; C.S. 233) and this shifts to only  $6.54 \mu$  for a carbon tetrachloride solution, and to  $6.60 \mu$  for the liquid (Fig. 2, IX; C.S. 234). Dimerisation, rotational isomerism, or solvent effects are thus much reduced in this nitrosamine, and the infrared data, which are in accord with the ultraviolet data reported above, support the explanations as dipolar interaction or dimerisation in particular. That the strong doublet in the infrared spectrum of *N*-nitrosobis-2:2:2:2-trifluoroethylamine at 7.89, 7.99  $\mu$  (liquid) and 7.89, 8.00  $\mu$  (carbon tetrachloride solution) is not caused by the N:O vibration of the dimeric nitrosamine is shown by the fact that it remains in the vapour (7.81, 7.95  $\mu$ ). The rest of the spectrum of the nitrosamine in carbon tetrachloride solution is almost identical with that of the vapour, again showing the negligible interaction for this nitrosamine. The N-N vibration in particular shows only slight change, whereas the N-N vibration for unsubstituted nitrosamines changes with the N:O vibration (Table 3) as expected.

### TABLE 3. N-N vibration in nitrosamines.

	Liquid	CCl <sub>4</sub> soln.	Vapour		Liquid	CCl₄ soln.	Vapour
Me <sub>2</sub> N·NO	 9.53	9.60	9.85	$(C_5H_{11})$ N·NO	9.22	9.24	_
Et, N·NO	 9.35	9.41	9.55	$(C_{s}H_{1s})$ , N·NO	9.18	9.21	<u> </u>
Pr₀ <sub>2</sub> N·NO	 9.35	9.37	9.53	(CF <sub>3</sub> ·CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> N·NO	9.50	9.57	9.56

Nitrites.—(a) Ultraviolet spectra. The ultraviolet spectra of alkyl nitrites resemble those of C-nitroso-compounds and are substantially independent of R in  $RO\cdot NO$ ; they are identical in light petroleum and in ethanol, and can thus be distinguished from those of nitrosamines (Part VI, loc. cit.). Spectra of ethanolic solutions were recorded under conditions  $(10-12^{\circ})$ ; sealed cells) such that loss of ethyl nitrite (b. p. 17°) formed by the equilibrium  $RO \cdot NO + EtOH \implies ROH + EtO \cdot NO$  was prevented; this is important, since complete conversion into ethyl nitrite would otherwise occur. The equilibrium lies well to the left, with not more than 10-20% of ethyl nitrite in the solution when  $R = Bu^n$  or *n*-pentyl (unpublished results), and the spectrum of an ethanolic solution of an alkyl nitrite is thus predominantly that of the alkyl nitrite and not that of ethyl nitrite. To avoid the necessity of recording spectra at low temperatures with sealed cells, and for use with nitrites where the equilibrium constant for the reaction with ethyl alcohol is not known, other polar solvents, where equilibria of the above type are not possible, can be used for distinguishing nitrites from nitrosamines. Thus, the alcohol ROH can be used as solvent for the nitrite RO·NO (e.g., Bu<sup>n</sup>OH for Bu<sup>n</sup>O·NO) or, for more general application, the polar solvents acetonitrile or dimethylformamide. Table 4 shows that the positions of the maxima in the spectrum of a nitrite in these solvents, and also in chloroform, are virtually the same as in light petroleum solutions. By contrast, the spectrum of a nitrosamine is markedly affected by change of solvent (Table 1).

Rotational isomerism is possible in nitrites, with a planar *cis*-form (VII), possibly stabilised by hydrogen-bonding, and a planar *trans*-form (VIII). Tarte (*J. Chem. Phys.*, 1952, **20**, 1570; *Bull. Soc. chim. Belg.*, 1950, **59**, 365; 1953, **62**, 401) provided good evidence for this from the infrared and ultraviolet spectra of alkyl nitrites and nitrous acid, particularly by change in spectra with increase in temperature; the *trans*-isomer (VIII) was believed to predominate at higher temperatures. Wagner (*Acta Phys. Austriaca*, 1953, **8**, 175) interpreted the Raman spectrum of methyl nitrite in terms of an intramolecularly hydrogen-bonded *cis*-form in which the hydrogen bond was broken with increase in temperature.

Change of solvent might be expected to change the cis: trans ratio at any given

temperature, and the results in Table 4 shows that this is so. The ultraviolet spectrum of n-butyl nitrite is identical for the vapour, for the liquid, and for solutions in carbon tetrachloride, chloroform, or light petroleum, not only as regards positions of the maxima, but also as regards the relative heights of the maxima. In particular, peak C remains smaller than peak B, where B and C flank the main peak A (Fig. 3). Change of solvent to acetonitrile or dimethylformamide causes negligible change in wavelength of the peaks, but the



FIG. 3. Ultraviolet spectra of nitrites.

*n*-Butyl nitrite : (a) vapour; (b) in light petroleum; (c) in acetonitrile; (d) in dimethylformamide. *n*-Pentyl nitrite : (e) vapour; (f) in light petroleum; (g) in acetonitrile; (h) in dimethylformamide. *iso*Pentyl nitrite : (i) vapour; (f) in light petroleum; (k) in acetonitrile; (l) in dimethylformamide. Ethyl nitrite : (m) vapour; (n) in light petroleum; (o) in acetonitrile. 2 : 2 : 2-Trifluoroethyl nitrite : (p) vapour.

relative heights of B and C are changed, with C now higher than B (Fig. 3). *n*-Pentyl nitrite shows a similar though less pronounced effect (Fig. 3) in which peak C becomes equal to or slightly more intense than peak B. *iso*Pentyl nitrite also shows reversal in the



relative intensities of peaks B and C on change from a non-polar to a polar solvent (Table 4, Fig. 3). The change is less marked with ethyl nitrite, but peak C approaches peak B in intensity.

This change in relative peak heights without appreciable change in wavelength can be interpreted as change in the *cis-trans* ratio.

The spectrum of 2:2:2-trifluoroethyl nitrite vapour shows the main peak at 364 mµ, *i.e.*, a shift of 9 mµ to the blue relative to ethyl nitrite (Table 4), caused by the increased double-bond character of the nitroso-group due to fluorine. The ratio of the peak heights also differs from that for the alkyl nitrites, since peak C is much lower than peak B (Fig. 3). Since the infrared spectrum shows that 2:2:2-trifluoroethyl nitrite is mainly the *trans*-isomer, the ultraviolet spectrum of this compound can be taken as that of a typical *trans*-nitrite displaced to the blue by 9 mµ.

(b) Infrared spectra. The nitroso-groups in (VII) and (VIII) are in different environments and would be expected to absorb at different wavelengths in the infrared region. The fact that alkyl nitrites show two strong bands in the N:O stretching vibration region (6  $\mu$ ) is strong evidence in favour of rotational isomerism (Tarte, *loc. cit.*; Haszeldine and Jander, Part VI). Further studies on alkyl nitrites and particularly on 2 : 2 : 2-trifluoroethyl nitrite support these conclusions. The N:O stretching vibrations are shown in Table 5. Methyl and ethyl nitrite show two doublets in the vapour spectra, but as the molecular weight of R in RO-NO increases, each doublet becomes a single band. The bands are of approximately equal intensity for methyl nitrite (Fig. 4), but the band at 5.97  $\mu$  predominates over that at 6.16  $\mu$  for the compounds of higher molecular weight (intensity ratio *ca.* 3 : 1, see Fig. 4). The N:O vibration in the *trans*-isomer is assigned to the 5.97  $\mu$  band or doublet, and that in the *cis*-isomer to the 6.16  $\mu$  band or doublet; these results are in good agreement with those of Tarte (*loc. cit.*) who also showed that the relative band intensities varied with temperature.

The spectrum of a liquid alkyl nitrite shows a marked shift  $(0.05-0.10 \ \mu)$  to longer wavelength in the positions of the N:O vibrations (Table 5). Only two bands are present in the 6  $\mu$  region for liquid *n*-butyl and *n*-pentyl nitrite, not three as reported earlier (*J*.,

$\lambda_{max}$	ε	$\lambda_{\min}$	ε	$\lambda_{\text{infl.}}$	ε	$\lambda_{max.}$	ε	$\lambda_{\min}$	ε	$\lambda_{\text{infl.}}$	ε
		EtO·NC	), vapour				EtO	NO in lig	ght petrol	eum 1	
384	<b>27</b>	382	<b>26</b>	_	<u> </u>	370.5	0.599	364·5	0.456	385	0.274
369	56	364	41	_	<u> </u>	357	0.758	350	0.450	_	<u> </u>
355	71	349	40		<u> </u>	344.5	0.698	338	0.368		_
343	67	336.5	31		<u> </u>	<b>333</b> ∙5	0.538	327.5	0.309	_	
332	50	326	24	_	<u> </u>	323	0.394	318	0.301		<u> </u>
321.5	33	317	21		<u> </u>	313	0.350	311.5	0.347		
312.5	25	309	21	-							
	EtC	NO in	acetonitr	ile 1			EtO·NO	) in dim	ethylforn	amide 1	
960.5	0.570	264	0.515	295	0.988	371.5	0.390	365.5	0.331	384	0.190
309.0	0.700	250	0.517	300	0-200	358	0.464	351	0.324	315	0.136
245	0.694	330	0.496	_		<b>34</b> 5·5	0.406	338.5	0.252		
040 999.5	0.475	200	0.940	_		334.5	0.292	328	0.183		
000'0 999.5	0.959	047 917	0.207	-		325	0.193	310.5	0.125	_	
313	0.303	311	0.297 0.298	_							
			•				Bun	0·NO in	light per	troleum	
		BunO·N	O, vapou	r		384	35	382	35	_	
384	23	<b>3</b> 80	21		<u> </u>	370	75	365	54	_	<u> </u>
369	46	363	<b>32</b>			357	92	350	49	_	<u> </u>
355	57	349	31	—	$\rightarrow$	345	81	338	38		
343	50	337	24	-		333	58	327	28		
332	37	327	19		-	323	37	318	24		
322	<b>26</b>	317	17		-	314	27	310	24		
313	<b>20</b>	309	18	-	-						
	I	Bu¤O∙NC	) in CHC	1,				Bu¤O∙N	O in CCl	4	
369.5	66	364	57	384	33	370	74	364	56	384	35
357	80	350	53			357	89	350	54		
345	68	338	40		_	345	77	338	41		
334	48	327	27		<u> </u>	334	55	327	30		_
323	30	317	<b>20</b>	<u> </u>	_	$323 \cdot 5$	36	317	<b>24</b>	—	
314	21	310	19			314	26	<b>31</b> 0	24		

### TABLE 4. Ultraviolet spectra of nitrites.

				Та	BLE 4.	(Continued	<b>i</b> .)				
$\lambda_{max.}$	ε Bu <sup>r</sup>	λ <sub>min.</sub> O·NO in	e acetoni	λ <sub>infl.</sub> trile	ε	$\lambda_{max.}$ Bi	ε ı¤O•N(	λ <sub>min.</sub> D in dim	ε ethylforn	λ <sub>infi.</sub> 1amide	ε
385	34	381	32	325	26	371	65	365	54	386	33
370.5	65	364	50	315	19	357.5	75	351	54		
357	70	350	49			345.5	63	339	41	<u> </u>	_
345	57	337	37	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	334.5	45	327	30		
333.5	40	310	18			325	31	318	24		
000 0	10	010	10			316	24	311	24		
	в	u <sup>n</sup> O•NO i	in Bu¤C	ЭH							
383	31	382	31	_			CF,	·CH.·O·	NO, vap	0 <b>ur 2</b>	
370	65	364	49	<u> </u>		379.5	42	373	19		
357	79	350	46		<u> </u>	364	64	357	34		
345	68	338	35			349.5	60	343	32		
333.5	48	327	25	<u> </u>		337	44	330	26		
323.5	31	318	20		<u> </u>	325	30	318	22		
314	22	310	21	_	_	315	23	311	$\overline{22}$		
	<i>n</i> -9	C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>11</sub> O·N	VO, vap	our		1	2-C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>1</sub>	$_{1}$ O·NO <i>i</i>	n light p	etroleum	
384	19	380	18	-		384	34	383	33	-	
369	38	364	26	-		<b>37</b> 0·5	71	364	51		
355	45	349	25			356.5	85	350	48	<u> </u>	
343	40	337	19		_	344.5	75	338	36	<u> </u>	
332	30	326	16			333.5	<b>54</b>	327	<b>27</b>		_
321	20	317	14	—	-	323	35	317	<b>23</b>		<u> </u>
313	16	310	15	-		314	26	311	24	—	-
n-C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>11</sub> O·NO in CHCl <sub>2</sub>											
369.5	69	363	59	384	35	270.5	74	264	57	394	25
357	81	350	55	<i></i>		370-3	14 00	250	54	001	50
<b>344</b> ·5	70	337	41			307	00 76	300	40		_
333.5	49	326	29		<u> </u>	040 999.5	54	206	20	_	_
323.5	<b>32</b>	317	23			202.5	95	320	94		_
315	24	312	23	—		314	35 27	313	$\frac{24}{25}$	_	_
	₩-C I	H ONO	in acet	mitrile							
B//0 -	<i>n</i> -0 <sub>5</sub> 1	204	= 1	904	91	<i>n</i> -	C 1H11	D•NO in	dimethy	lformami	de
369.0	00	304	51	384	31	370.5	67	365	57	385	33
357	70	350	50		-	357.5	79	351	56		_
345	59	337	39	_		345.5	69	338	44		
333.2	42	326	28			335	50	327	33	<u> </u>	
323.5	29	317	23			324.5	35	317	26		
314 .	24	312	24			315	26	311	$\tilde{25}$		
	iso	-C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>11</sub> O·	NO, vaj	þo <b>ur</b>					· · · ·		
384	24	380	22			2.	so-C₅H	110·NO	in light	petroleum	l
369	41	363	29	<u> </u>		385	30	382	29		-
355.5	48	349	<b>27</b>	<u> </u>		<b>37</b> 0·5	64	364	46	_	
343	42	336	<b>22</b>			357	78	<b>350</b>	43	<u> </u>	
332	<b>32</b>	326	18			344.5	69	338	33	_	
321.5	<b>23</b>	317	16		—	333.2	49	327	24	_	
313	18	309	17	-		323.5	32	317	21		$\rightarrow$
						314	24	310	21	_	_
	150-	C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>11</sub> O•1	NO in C	HCI <sub>s</sub>				<b>.</b>	NO .	001	
370	60	364	51	385	<b>28</b>		150	-C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>11</sub> O	•NO in	CCI4	
357	71	350	48			<b>37</b> 0·5	68	364	52	384	33
345	61	$337 \cdot 5$	35			357	82	350	49	—	_
333.5	43	327	25	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	344.5	71	338	38	<u> </u>	
323.5	27	317.5	18			333.5	51	327	<b>28</b>	<u> </u>	
314	19	310	17	-		323.5	33	318	23		—
	iso-C	H.O.NC	) in ace	tonitrile		314	25	311	24	_	
386	00-01 00	280	95	201	91	iso.C	н. о	NO in d	imethylf	mamide	
300	40	364	20 40	044 91 E	41 19	130-C	5110	200 00 U			96
357	50	250	40	010	10	3/1°3 950.5	50	300 320	±0 49	296	20 99
345.5	47	338	31	_	_	346	18	228	39	316	16
335	33	310	15	_	_	225	34	310	15		
000	50	010	10			000	JT	010	10		-

<sup>1</sup> Optical densities given instead of  $\varepsilon$ , since compound is too volatile to be weighed quantitatively for solution work. <sup>2</sup> Also max. at 221 m $\mu$ ,  $\varepsilon$  900.

1954, 691); the 5.75  $\mu$  band, which was caused by decomposition on exposure to infrared radiation, should be deleted from C.S. spectra 73 and 74. There is a slight change in intensity ratio of the 6  $\mu$  bands relative to the vapour spectra. The dipolar interaction causing the shift in the infrared spectrum is not changed by dissolving the nitrite in a non-polar solvent such as carbon tetrachloride, since the infrared bands appear at the same position (Table 5); there is a slight change in intensity ratio, with increase in the  $6.22 \mu$  band, however, and this is again consistent with rotational isomerism.

The infrared spectrum of 2:2:2-trifluoroethyl nitrite vapour shows a strong sharp peak at 5.76  $\mu$  which is assigned to the N:O stretching vibration of the *trans*-isomer (VIII;



 $R = CF_3$ ). The *cis*-isomer is present at much lower concentration (5.90  $\mu$  band; ratio of intensities 9:1) than in ethyl nitrite. The shift of the N:O vibration to shorter wavelength is in accord with similar shifts observed in C:O vibrations on replacement of hydrogen by

TABLE	5.	N:O	vibrations	in	alkyl	nitrites.
-------	----	-----	------------	----	-------	-----------

			5		
Compound	State	C.S. no.	trans-Isomer (VIII)	cis-Isomer (VII)	Overtones
MeO·NO	Vapour	235	5·91, 5·98 (d)	6·13, 6·17 (d)	3.00, 3.10
EtO·NO	Vapour CCl <sub>4</sub>	236	5·94, 5·99 (d) 6·05	6·14, 6·17 (d) 6·20	3·03, 3·13 —
Bu <sup>n</sup> O·NO	Vapour Liquid CCl4	237 73	5·96, 5·98 (d) 6·07 6·06	$6.17 \\ 6.22 \\ 6.22$	3·03, 3·14 3·09, 3·15 —
<i>n</i> -C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>11</sub> O•NO	Vapour Liquid CCl4	238 239 	5·97 6·06 6·05	6·16 6·21 6·21	3.02, 3.13 3.07, 3.16
<i>iso</i> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>11</sub> O·NO	Vapour Liquid CCl <sub>4</sub>	240 241 —	5·97 6·05 6·05	6·16 6·21 6·22	3·02, 3·13 3·07, 3·15 —
CF₃•CH₂·O·NO	Vapour Liquid CCl <sub>4</sub>	242 	5·76 5·80 5·80	$5.90$ $\overline{5.92}$	2·92 —
	d = doublet	t. CCl <sub>4</sub> i	ndicates CCl <sub>4</sub> solution.		

to liquid or solution (Table 5) is also in accord with this. (3) Trifluoronitrosomethane Dimer.-Trifluoronitrosomethane was shown earlier (J., 1954, 696) to dimerise to an orange gas which could be (IX) or (X), and from the spectroscopic studies reported in Part VI (loc. cit.) it was concluded that (IX) was slightly the more probable: the infrared spectrum resembled that of a nitrosamine rather than that of a nitrite. It was pointed out that final identification must await detailed chemical investigation in a field where there are at present no established reference compounds. In the present paper it is shown that the "free" nitroso-group in a nitrosamine vapour absorbs at  $6.72 \,\mu$  and the absorption is shifted by introduction of fluorine into the alkyl groups to  $6.45 \mu$  [(CF<sub>3</sub>·CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N·NO]; it is thus now likely that the NO vibration for the vapour of (IX) would lie between 5.9 and 6.4  $\mu$ , but the spectrum of trifluoronitrosomethane dimer (C.S. 81) shows only weak absorption in this region. The studies on alkyl nitrites reported above show that the *trans*-isomer absorbs at 5.97  $\mu$  and that trifluoroethyl nitrite absorbs at 5.76  $\mu$ . The N:O vibration for (X) would thus be expected to lie between 5.4 and 5.7  $\mu$ . The spectrum of trifluoronitrosomethane dimer in fact shows a strong doublet at 5.47,  $5.55 \,\mu$  and this can now be assigned to the N:O vibration.

The new spectroscopic studies on nitrosamines and nitrites thus no longer enable (IX) to be favoured over (X), but the reverse. A full chemical investigation, which also shows that trifluoronitrosomethane dimer is (X) and not (IX), will be reported later.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

The alkyl nitrites and nitrosamines were taken from the same samples as used in Part VI. Methyl and ethyl nitrite were prepared from the alcohols in the usual way and purified by distillation *in vacuo*.

N-Nitrosobis-2: 2: 2-trifluoroethylamine.—Bis-2: 2: 2-trifluoroethylamine, kindly presented by Professor G. F. Wright, was redistilled (b. p. 82°), then added (1.00 g.) to a 20-ml. flask. Concentrated hydrochloric acid (0.6 ml.) was added and the white solid formed was stirred and heated (70°) during the addition (1 hr.) of aqueous sodium nitrite (0.6 g. in 1 ml. of water). The mixture was stirred for 1 hr., then cooled, and the lower oily layer was dried ( $P_2O_5$ ) and distilled, giving N-nitrosobis-2: 2: 2-trifluoroethylamine (0.83 g., 72%), b. p. 114—115°,  $n_D^{16}$  1.3374 (Found : C, 23.2; H, 2.1; N, 13.2.  $C_4H_4ON_2F_6$  requires C, 22.9; H, 1.9; N, 13.3%).

2:2: 2-Trifluoroethyl Nitrite.—Trifluoroethanol (23·9 g.), sodium nitrite (20·6 g.), and water (40 ml.) were shaken in a 250-ml. flask connected via a reflux condenser to a trap cooled in liquid oxygen, whilst concentrated hydrochloric acid (25·8 ml.) in water (25 ml.) was dropped in. The volatile products were fractionated *in vacuo*, to give dinitrogen tetroxide, nitric oxide, and crude trifluoroethyl nitrite. The last fraction was shaken with mercury (2 hr.) in a sealed tube to remove traces of dinitrogen tetroxide, then refractionated to give 2:2:2-trifluoroethyl nitrite (8·1 g., 27%), b. p. 15·8° (Found : C, 18·4; H, 1·4; N, 10·6%; M, 128. C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>NF<sub>3</sub> requires C, 18·6; H, 1·6; N, 10·9%; M, 129) as a pale greenish-yellow gas.

Ultraviolet Spectra.—A Unicam spectrophotometer was used. Solvents were anhydrous, redistilled, and showed little absorption in the regions studied. The spectra of liquid nitrosamines were taken with a thin film pressed between two silica discs.

Infrared Spectra.—A Perkin-Elmer Model 21 Spectrophotometer with rock-salt optics was used. The spectrum of liquid 2:2:2-trifluoroethyl nitrite was obtained by cooling the cell used to  $4^{\circ}$  and adding the cooled nitrite as liquid. The spectrum could be recorded before the temperature of the cell reached the b. p. of the nitrite.

We thank Professor G. F. Wright for a sample of bis-2: 2: 2-trifluoroethylamine.

UNIVERSITY CHEMICAL LABORATORY, CAMBRIDGE.

[Received, May 18th, 1955.]